

# The extension of traces for Sobolev mappings between manifolds

**Jean Van Schaftingen**

*UCLouvain, IRMP, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

`Jean.VanSchaftingen@UCLouvain.be`

Given compact Riemannian manifolds  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , the question of traces for Sobolev mappings consists in characterising the mappings from  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  that can arise as maps in the first-order Sobolev space  $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ . A direct application of Gagliardo's characterisation of traces for the linear spaces  $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R})$  shows that traces of maps in  $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  should belong to the fractional Sobolev-Slobodeckii space  $\dot{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ . There is however no reason for Gagliardo's linear extension to satisfy the nonlinear constraint imposed by  $\mathcal{N}$  on the target.

In the case  $p > \dim \mathcal{M}$ , Sobolev mappings are continuous and thus traces of Sobolev maps are the mappings of  $\dot{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  that are also restrictions of continuous functions [2]. The critical case  $p = \dim \mathcal{M}$  can be treated similarly thanks to their vanishing mean oscillation property [2, 3, 6].

The case  $1 < p < \dim \mathcal{M}$  is more delicate. It was first proved that when the first homotopy  $\pi_1(\mathcal{N}), \dots, \pi_{\lfloor p-1 \rfloor}(\mathcal{N})$  are *trivial*, then the trace operator from  $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  to  $\dot{W}^{1-1/p,p}(\partial\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$  is surjective [4]. On the other hand, several conditions for the surjectivity have been known: topological obstructions require  $\pi_{\lfloor p-1 \rfloor}(\mathcal{N})$  to be *trivial* [2, 4] whereas analytical obstructions arise unless the groups  $\pi_1(\mathcal{N}), \dots, \pi_{\lfloor p-1 \rfloor}(\mathcal{N})$  are *finite* [1] and, when  $p \geq 2$  is an integer,  $\pi_{p-1}(\mathcal{N})$  is *trivial* [5].

In a recent work, I have completed the characterisation of the cases where the trace is surjective, proving that the known necessary conditions turn out to be sufficient [7]. I extend the traces thanks to a new construction which works on the domain rather than in the image. When  $p \geq \dim \mathcal{M}$  the same construction also provides a Sobolev extension with linear estimates for maps that have a continuous extension, provided that there are no known analytical obstructions to such a control.

## References

- [1] F. Bethuel, *A new obstruction to the extension problem for Sobolev maps between manifolds*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 15 (2014), no. 1, 155–183.

- [2] F. Bethuel, F. Demengel, *Extensions for Sobolev mappings between manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1995), no. 4, 475–491.
- [3] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Degree theory and BMO. I. Compact manifolds without boundaries*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1** (1995), no. 2, 197–263.
- [4] R. Hardt, Lin F., *Mappings minimizing the  $L^p$  norm of the gradient*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **40** (1987), no. 5, 555–588.
- [5] P. Mironescu, J. Van Schaftingen, *Trace theory for Sobolev mappings into a manifold*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **30** (2021), no. 2, 281–299.
- [6] R. Schoen, K. Uhlenbeck, *A regularity theory for harmonic maps*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), no. 2, 307–335.
- [7] J. Van Schaftingen, *The extension of traces for Sobolev mappings between manifolds*, arXiv:2403.18738.